

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,796	05/12/2005	Peter G. Klimko	2443 US F	1650
7590 06/14/2007 Alcon Research 6201 South Freeway			EXAMINER	
			FAY, ZOHREH A	
Fort Worth, TX 76134-2099			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1618		
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/534,796	KLIMKO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zohreh A. Fay	1618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appor Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2007.				
′=	his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/534,796

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-3 are presented for examination.

The remarks filed on March 30, 2007 have been received and entered.

Crapo et al. has been withdrawn as a reference used in the obviousness rejection based on applicant's arguments.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Malfroy-Camine et al. (U.S. Patent 6,046,188) in view of Winkler et al. (Molecular Vision 1999) for the reasons set forth on pages 2-4 of the office action of November 30, 2006.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks argues that Malfroy-camine et al. do not teach the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of macular degeneration, retinopathy and retinal edema. The arguments are not well taken. Applicant is reminded that the rejection is an obviousness rejection and not anticipation. Malfroy-Camine et al. is cited to show that claimed compounds as anti-oxidants having superoxide dismutase activity. Applicant also refers to Winkler et al. reference and argues that such reference does not teach that compounds with SOD activity can prevent oxidative damage associated with macular degeneration. Applicant argues that Winkler et al. teach superoxide dismutase and catalase as "the armory of protectants" involved in a reaction which may be involved in the development of oxidative damage which may lead to AMD. The arguments are not well taken. Applicant is reminded that obviousness does not require absolute predictability. Winkler et al. teach the role of oxidation in relation to macular degeneration and suggests that superoxide dismutase may be involved in preventing oxidative damage. MalfroyApplication/Control Number: 10/534,796

Art Unit: 1618

Camine et al. teach that the claimed compounds have superoxide dismutase activity.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use compounds having superoxide dismutase activity for the treatment of AMD motivated by the teaching of Winkler et al., which teaches the effect of superoxide dismutase in preventing oxidative damage.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,796

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F

